T he high-speed air-rotor handpiece has been the most significant advancement in dentistry during my career. I was just entering private practice when they were introduced, and they were such a phenomenal change from the belt-driven handpieces I had used previously that my acceptance of them was immediate. The first high-speed air-rotor handpieces had only one speed—the top speed. In spite of this handicap, the concept caught on immediately, and dentistry would never go back to the low-speed handpieces of the past for gross tooth reduction.

The handpiece concept that had gained popularity just before the air-rotor handpiece was introduced was the high-speed belt-driven mechanical handpiece. These handpieces were highly superior to their lower-speed predecessors, but they required high maintenance, were noisy and had so much torque that when a cotton roll was caught in the bur, it took several seconds to stop the bur and calm the patient and the dentist.

The original air-abrasion units were introduced around 1960, and confusion about the best way to cut tooth structure reigned for a few years until the air-rotor handpiece took over completely for gross reduction of teeth. In the mid-1960s, some low-speed electric handpieces entered the market and were popular for a few years, but the major tooth-cutting concept used in the American dental profession has remained the high-speed air-rotor handpiece.

Low-speed electric handpieces have made a significant impact in American endodontic therapy during the past few decades. However, in spite of their popularity in other parts of the world, high-speed electric handpieces have been unable to achieve a significant market share in America.

Is now the time to consider this tooth-cutting concept? Current advertisements in most of the journals seem to agree: the answer is yes.

In this article, I discuss the advantages and disadvantages of the current generation of high-speed air-rotor handpieces, compare electric high-speed and air-rotor handpieces, and make suggestions about the best handpiece concept for 2002.

### ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF AIR-ROTOR HANDPIECES

Air-rotor handpieces have the following advantages:
- they remove tooth structure rapidly;
- they require only a light touch;
- when used correctly, they cause minimal trauma to tooth structure;
- they have low torque, reducing the danger that arises when burs are caught in tissue or other objects;
- they are moderately resistant to damage caused by current sterilization techniques;
- they are relatively easy to repair.

Air-rotor handpieces have the following disadvantages:
- they have poor concentricity after a relatively short period of use;
- they have a high-pitched, loud noise;
- they need repair frequently;
- the lighting on some brands degenerates after only a few sterilizations;
- the swivel stiffens after a moderate period of use;
- their torque degenerates after a relatively short period of use;
- they are expensive.

Most dentists are relatively satisfied with high-speed air-rotor handpieces, but they see the need for some changes, most of which I outlined in a previous JADA column. It appears there is no need for revolutionary changes in the gross tooth-cutting concept. When considering a change to high-speed electric handpieces, one must consider the apparent advantages to be gained by moving to an entirely new concept. What are the advantages and disad-
vantages of high-speed electric handpieces?

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF ELECTRIC HIGH-SPEED HANDPIECES

Electric handpieces have been used for years in several parts of the world. Their advantages are well-known:
- they have high torque with very little stalling;
- they are quiet, with a reduced potential for hearing damage and a less irritating sound for patients;
- they offer low levels of vibration and smooth operation;
- they provide precision cutting with high concentricity;
- one electric motor runs several handpiece attachments, including a high-speed contra-angle, a straight handpiece, an endodontic handpiece and a general low-speed handpiece;
- low-speed attachments for the electric motor allow for easy cutting of dentures, temporary resin restorations, orthodontic appliances, occlusal splints, plaster or stone.

The disadvantages of high-speed electric handpieces may not be as well-known:
- they are expensive, and the expense is a significant consideration when equipping multiple operatories;
- the heads on the contrangles are comparatively large;
- they are heavy compared with high-speed air-rotor handpieces;
- because of their high torque, the dentist inadvertently may place excessive load on a tooth during cutting;
- a learning period is required to change from air-rotor to high-speed electric handpieces;
- infection control measures must be observed carefully to avoid damaging the handpiece with repeated sterilizations.

SHOULD YOU CHANGE FROM AIR-ROTOR TO ELECTRIC HANDPIECES?

About 10 years ago, I converted my office to allow use of high-speed electric handpieces. After an initial learning period, it became evident that the handpieces did have the desirable characteristics that have been listed above. I immediately observed positive clinical characteristics such as low noise, precision, high-torque cutting, improved tooth preparations and absence of the air-rotor whine. However, I missed the gentle, low-torque, almost load-free cutting of an air-rotor. I found myself using the electric handpiece when I was finishing a tooth preparation that I knew would be observed by another dentist or if I had to show the tooth preparation in a course or video, because the tooth preparation would be smoother and more precise when cut with the electric handpiece. The clinical procedure was not any shorter when using a high-speed electric handpiece than when using an air-rotor handpiece.

Importantly, the electric low-speed attachments soon became indispensable equipment. Since the introduction of electric handpieces in our office, we have accepted the low-speed versions completely. Today we still use electric low-speed straight handpieces for trimming any hard substance such as dentures, temporary resin restorations, orthodontic appliances, occlusal splints, plaster or stone. I believe that the low-speed attachment should provide up to 40,000 rotations per minute to be effective.

Low-speed electric handpieces are a major improvement over air-driven handpieces. On the other hand, high-speed electric handpieces do offer the advantages promoted for them, but at significant expense.

CONCLUSION

Low-speed electric handpieces are a significant improvement over low-speed air-driven handpieces, and they have replaced their air-driven predecessors in our office. High-speed electric handpieces offer some distinct advantages over air-rotor handpieces, but these advantages are less impressive than those observed with low-speed electric handpieces vs. air-rotor handpieces. For some practitioners, these handpieces will replace air-rotor handpieces.

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or official policies of the American Dental Association.
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